arXiv:hep-th/9405098v1 16 May 1994

$DIAS - STP - 94 - 18$

Revised version

Yang-Baxterization of the $B\mathcal{H}$ algebra.

G.A.T.F.da Costa
Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies
10 Burlington Road,Dublin 4,Ireland.
May 14,1994

Abstract

The $B\mathcal{H}_n(l,m)$ algebra is defined by two sets of generators one of which satisfy the relations of the braid group and the other the relations of the Hecke algebra of projectors.These algebras are then combined by additional relations in a way which generalize the Birman-Wenzl algebra $\mathcal{C}_n(l,m)$. In this paper we Yang-Baxterize the algebra $B\mathcal{H}$ and compute solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation.The solutions found are expressed algebraically in terms of the generators of the algebra.The expression generalizes the known one for the algebra $\mathcal{C}_n(l,m)$.

1 Introduction

The Yang-Baxter equation (YBE)

$$\check{R}_1(x)\check{R}_2(xy)\check{R}_1 = \check{R}_2(y)\check{R}_1(xy)\check{R}_2(x) \tag{1}$$

plays a central role as the main criterium for integrability of lattice models in statistical mechanics. The equation bares a resemblance to the generating relations of the Artin's braid group algebra B_n defined by the generators $1, \sigma_i, i = 1, ..., n-1$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i &= \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}, \\ \sigma_i\sigma_j &= \sigma_j\sigma_i, \ \ |i-j| \geq 2, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

the difference being that YBE depends explicitly on a spectral parameter.However, many examples of solutions are known which in the limit when the parameter goes to zero or infinity the matrices satisfying the equation become a representation of

the braid group. In [1-2] Jones considered the inverse problem of finding solutions of the YBE equation from representations of the braid group. He named this procedure of constructing solutions of YBE,Yang-Baxterization.

The purpose of this note is to discuss the Yang-Baxterization of the algebra $B\mathcal{H}_n(l,m)$.This algebra first appeared on [5] in association with a class of lattice models (see section 3) and it has important mathematical properties such as connections with topological invariants of knots and graph embeddings [5]. It depends on two parameters l and m and it is defined by the generators $1, \sigma_i, H_i, i = 1, ..., n-1$ satisfying the relations of the braid algebra (2) and of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}(q)$

$$H_iH_{i\pm1}H_i - H_i = H_{i\pm1}H_iH_{i\pm1} - H_{i\pm1} \tag{3.1}$$

$$H_i^2 = qH_i \tag{3.2}$$

$$H_iH_j = H_jH_i, \ \ |i-j| \geq 2, \tag{3.3}$$

with $q = 1 + m^{-1}(l - l^{-1})$ and the additional relations

$$\sigma_i - \sigma_i^{-1} = m(1 - H_i) \tag{3.4}$$

$$\sigma_{i\pm1}\sigma_iH_{i\pm1} = H_i\sigma_{i\pm1}\sigma_i \tag{3.5}$$

$$\sigma_{i\pm1}\sigma_iH_{i\pm1} - H_iH_{i\pm1} = \sigma_i\sigma_{i\pm1}H_i - H_{i\pm1}H_i \tag{3.6}$$

$$\sigma_{i\pm1}H_i\sigma_{i\pm1} - \sigma_i^{-1}H_{i\pm1}\sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_iH_{i\pm1}\sigma_i - \sigma_{i\pm1}^{-1}H_i\sigma_{i\pm1}^{-1} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\sigma_{i\pm1}H_iH_{i\pm1} - \sigma_i^{-1}H_{i\pm1} = \sigma_iH_{i\pm1}H_i - \sigma_{i\pm1}^{-1}H_i \tag{3.8}$$

$$H_{i\pm1}H_i\sigma_{i\pm1} - H_{i\pm1}\sigma_i^{-1} = H_iH_{i\pm1}\sigma_i - H_i\sigma_{i\pm1}^{-1} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\sigma_iH_i = H_i\sigma_i = \frac{1}{l}H_i \tag{3.10}$$

$$H_i\sigma_{i\pm1}H_i - lH_i = H_{i\pm1}\sigma_iH_{i\pm1} - lH_{i\pm1} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\sigma_i^2 = m(\sigma_i - \frac{1}{l}H_i) + 1 \tag{3.12}$$

$$\sigma_i^3 = (m + \frac{1}{l})\sigma_i^2 - (1 - \frac{m}{l})\sigma_i - \frac{1}{l} \tag{3.13}$$

The $B\mathcal{H}$ algebra is closely associated with the Birman-Wenzl algebra $\mathcal{C}_n$ [3] whose Yang-Baxterization was first studied by Jones in [1,2] and then in [4]. Indeed,$\mathcal{C}_n \subset B\mathcal{H}$ and its relations can be obtained from relations (3) above by taking $H_i \to E_i$ and both sides of relations (3.1),(3.6-9) and (3.11) equal to zero. Relations (3) are not all independent but they are given here because they are used in section (2) in the derivation of our main result:solutions of equation (1) which have support in the algebra.These are solutions of the trigonometric type and are given in algebraic form,there is, in terms of the generators σ_i, H_i of the algebra (2-3). In section (3) we discuss an explicit realization.

2 Main result

Let σ_i be a solution of (2) satisfying the cubic relation with three distinct eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3

$$(\sigma_i - \lambda_1)(\sigma_i - \lambda_2)(\sigma_i - \lambda_3) = 0 \tag{4}$$

The following result was proved in [4]:

Theorem 1: If σ_i satisfy (4) and the identity

$$f_3^+\theta_3^+ + f_3^-\theta_3^- + f_2\theta_2 + f_1^+\theta_1^+ + f_1^-\theta_1^- = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\begin{gathered}\theta_3^\pm = \sigma_1^\pm\sigma_2^\mp\sigma_1^\pm - \sigma_2^\pm\sigma_1^\mp\sigma_2^\pm \\ \theta_2 = \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1} - \sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1} + \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_1 - \sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_2 \\ \theta_1^\pm = \sigma_1^\pm - \sigma_2^\pm\end{gathered} \tag{5.2}$$

and $f_3^\pm, f_2, f_1^\pm$ are given by

$$\begin{gathered}f_3^+ = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3^2}, f_3^- = -\frac{\lambda_1^2}{\lambda_3} \\ f_2 = -\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3}(1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_3} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3}) \\ f_1^\pm = \mp\lambda_2^\mp f_2\end{gathered} \tag{5.3}$$

then,

$$\check{R}_i(x) = A(x)\sigma_i + B(x)I + C(x)\sigma_i^{-1} \tag{6}$$

is a solution of the YBE satisfying the following three conditions:

1)the boundary condition :

$$\check{R}_i(0) \sim \sigma_i \tag{7.1}$$

2)the initial condition:

$$\check{R}_i(1) \sim I \tag{7.2}$$

3)the unitarity condition:

$$\check{R}_i(x)\check{R}_i(x^{-1}) = f(x)I, \tag{7.3}$$

for some function f(x) and the coefficients A,B,and C can be chosen of the following form:

$$\begin{gathered}A(x) = -\lambda_3^{-1}(x-1), C(x) = \lambda_1 x(x-1) \\ B(x) = (1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_3})x\end{gathered} \tag{8.1}$$

$$A(x) = -\lambda_3^{-1}(x-1), C(x) = \lambda_2 x(x-1)$$
$$B(x) = (1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_3} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3})x \tag{8.2}$$

It was also proved in [4] that a sufficient condition for a solution σ_i of (4) to satisfy identity (5.1) is that it admits the algebraic structure of the Birman-Wenzl algebra $\mathcal{C}_n$.Our main result consists in the proof that (5.1) is still satisfied if σ_i admits the structure of the more general $B\mathcal{H}$ algebra.This is stated in the following theorem:

Theorem 2:Suppose σ_i and H_i close the relations (2) and (3) of algebra $B\mathcal{H}(l,m)$ with $l = \lambda_3^{-1}$,$m = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ and $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 1$.Then,identity (5.1) is satisfied and $\check{R}_i$ given by (6-8) is a solution of YBE (1) with support in $B\mathcal{H}$.

Proof:

Using solely the relations (2) and (3) of the algebra relations (5.2) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\theta_3^+ = m^2\{\sigma_2 - \frac{1}{l}H_2 - \sigma_1 + \frac{1}{l}H_1 - \sigma_2 H_1$$
$$+\sigma_1 H_2 - H_1\sigma_2 + mH_1 + H_2\sigma_1 - mH_2\} \tag{9.1}$$
$$\theta_3^- = m^2\{\sigma_2 - \sigma_2 H_1 - H_1\sigma_2 + lH_1$$
$$-lH_2 - \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 H_2 + H_2\sigma_1\} \tag{9.2}$$
$$\theta_2 = m\{-2\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2 + \sigma_1 H_2 - \sigma_2 H_1$$
$$+H_2\sigma_1 - H_1\sigma_2\} \tag{9.3}$$
$$\theta_1^+ = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 \tag{9.4}$$
$$\theta_1^- = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2 + mH_1 - mH_2 \tag{9.5}$$

Upon substitution of these relations in the left hand side of (5.1) it is observed that all terms cancel out and the identity is satisfied.Moreover,$\check{R}_i$ is a solution of (1) with A,B,C given by (8).

In terms of the generators σ_i and H_i of the algebra $B\mathcal{H}$,the solutions (6) can be expressed as

$$\check{R}_i(x) = (A(x) + C(x))\sigma_i + (B(x) - mC(x))I + mC(x)H_i \tag{10}$$

for A,B,C given by (8.1) and (8.2). Thus,two solutions of YBE are associated to every representation of the braid group satisfying the $B\mathcal{H}$ algebra. Since every representation of the Birman-Wenzl algebra $\mathcal{C}_n$ is also a representation of $B\mathcal{H}$ the solutions found in [4] are automatically taken into account by (10).

3 An example

A wide class of lattice models have a partition function which can be expressed in general form as

$$\mathcal{Z} = Tr\{\prod_{j=1}^{N-1}(D + A\theta_{2j})\prod_{j=1}^{N}(C + B\theta_{2j-1})\}^M \tag{11}$$

where the letters are parameters associated with the anisotropies of the model and M and N are the numbers of rows and columns of a square lattice.The $\theta's$ are given either by a representation of the Temperley-Lieb or of Hecke algebras. Let's consider the case when $D = C = t$ and $A = B = t^{-1}$ and take $\theta_i = H_i \in \mathcal{H}_n$ satisfying relations (3.1-3).

A simple check shows that the H_i close with the

$$\sigma_i^{\pm} = t^{\pm} + t^{\mp}H_i \tag{12}$$

relations (2-3) with $m = t - t^{-1}$ and $l = -t^3$ and $q = -(t^2 + t^{-2})$. The literature on lattice models provide plenty examples of representations for the generators H_i and from them using (12) we can obtain representations for the algebra $B\mathcal{H}_n$.For instance [6],

$$H_i = \sum_{k=1}^{Q}\sum_{l=1}^{Q} I^{(1)} \otimes ... \otimes (x^{\epsilon(k,l)/2}E_{kk}^{(i)} \otimes E_{ll}^{(i+1)} + E_{kl}^{(i)} \otimes E_{lk}^{(i+1)}) \otimes ...I^{(n)} \tag{13}$$

where the sums over l and k are such that $l \neq k, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1$,$E_{ab}$ is the matrix whose elements are $(E_{ab})_{cd} = \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd}$.The number Q is arbitrary and the superscripts label the slots where the factors are in the tensor product and $\epsilon(k, l) = -1$ if $k < l$ and $+1$ if $k > l$.The relation of x with t is given by $-t^{\pm 2} = x^{\mp 1/2}$.

It is remarkable that the σ_i given by (12) admit the cubic relation (3.13) or (4) with eigenvalues given by $\lambda_1 = t, \lambda_2 = -t^{-1}, \lambda_3 = -t^3$. They can be Yang-Baxterized to produce new solutions of YBE (1) using (8.1-2). For A,B,C given by (8.1) and using (10) we get

$$\check{R}_i(x) = (x - t^2)(x + \frac{1}{t^4}) + (x - 1)(xt^2 + \frac{1}{t^4})H_i \tag{14}$$

and,for A,B,C given by (8.2),

$$\check{R}_i(x) = (1 + \frac{1}{t^4})x - \frac{1}{t^2}(1 + x^2) + (\frac{1}{t^4} - x)(x - 1)H_i \tag{15}$$

The elements $g_i = t.\sigma_i$ where σ_i are as in (12) admit the relations of the braided Hecke algebra,that is, the g_i satisfy relations (2) and the quadratic relation $g_i^2 = (t^2 - t^{-2})g_i + 1$. However,the solution of YBE obtained by the Baxterization of this algebra (see [1]) with $g_i = t\sigma_i$ can not be mapped consistently on the solutions (14) or (15) or vive-versa.

References

[1] Jones,V.,Inter.J.Mod.Phys.B,vol4,No.5 (1990) 701-713.

[2] Jones,V.,Comm.Math.Phys.125,459-467(1989).

[3] Birman,J.,Wenzl,H.,Trans.Amer.Math.Soc.313 (1989),249-273.

[4] Cheng,Y.,Ge,M.L.,and Xue,K.,Comm.Math.Phys. 136,195-208 (1991).

[5] da Costa,G.A.T.F.,Preprint DIAS-93-07.

[6] Pasquier,V.Nucl.Phys.B295 [FS21],491(1988).